

## Minutes

<b>Meeting name</b>	<b>Access Task Force – Meeting 5</b>
<b>Time</b>	<b>10.00 – 16.00</b>
<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>20 March 2018</b>
<b>Location</b>	<b>ENA Offices, Horseferry Road, London</b>

## Attendees

<b>Name</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Jon Parker	(JP)	Chair-Ofgem
Stephen Perry	(SP)	Ofgem
Nathan Macwhinnie	(NMac)	Ofgem
Andrew Conway	(AC)	Ofgem
Amy Freund	(AF)	Ofgem
Simon Rickenbach	(SR)	BEIS
Stew Horne	(SH)	Citizens Advice
James Kerr	(JK)	Citizens Advice
Jeremy Nicholson	(JN)	Energy Intensive Users Group
Poppy Maltby	(PM)	RegenSW
Paul Mott	(PMo)	EDF Energy
Simon Lord	(SL)	Engie
Bill Reed	(BR)	RWE S&T GmbH and RWE Generation
Peter Dennis	(PD)	Ecotricity
Simon Brooke	(SB)	Electricity North West Ltd
David Wildash	(DW)	National Grid
Paul McGimpsey	(PMc)	Scottish Power Energy Networks
Nigel Turvey	(NT)	Western power Distribution
Haren Thillainathan	(HT)	Scottish Power
Caroline Bragg (Dial In)	(CB)	Association for Decentralised Energy
Robert Longden	(RL)	Cornwall Energy
John Harmer	(JH)	Flexible Generation Group
Graham Pannell	(GP)	RES
Ian McDonnell (Part)	(IM)	Baringa
Nick Screen (Part)	(NS)	Baringa
Duncan Sinclair (Part)	(DS)	Baringa
John Spurgeon	(JS)	ENA Task Force Secretariat
Hena Ahitan	(HA)	ENA Task Force Secretariat

## 1 Welcome and introductions

**1.1** JP welcomed the Access Task Force members to the meeting and provided a brief update JP also thanked them for their hard work since the last meeting.

## 2 ENA – Update on Project Plan

**2.1** JS delivered an updated project plan, highlighting key dates and deadlines for both Task Forces to adhere to. Future key dates included two more JTF meetings, on the 17<sup>th</sup> April and 15<sup>th</sup> May. The submission structure for the final report was also outlined, with milestone dates being agreed for draft submission, approvals and final submission.

**Action agreed under this item:**

**ATF24 - Minute that Task Force Interim Evaluation report will be published shortly after the joint Task Forces meeting scheduled for 17 April.**

### **3 Barings Presentation – Assessment of Materiality**

**3.1** Baringa presented on the analytical framework they have developed for assessing the current networks access and forward looking charging arrangements . Baringa outlined their analytical approach, including the defining of impact areas and impact types. The assessment of impacts was conducted with both quantitative and qualitative information. The high materiality impact areas were presented as key areas, where there was a potentially stronger case for for a network access and charging review. To conclude the slides, the relationships between the assessed impacts and the building blocks developed by the Task Force were presented to the Task Force. Baringa asked the group for comments by Monday 26<sup>th</sup> March.

**Action agreed under this item:**

**ATF25 - Task Force members to provide comments on the assessment work presented Baringa by Monday 26<sup>th</sup> March.**

### **4 Updated scenarios – following Task Force and Charging Futures Forum Feedback**

**4.1** A Task Force member presented slides discussing the feedback received on the three umbrella scenarios (from the perspectives of both large users and small/domestic users) at the Joint Task Forces meeting and the Access workshops held in London and Glasgow. The assessment tool developed to assess the options was also discussed. Initial results of evaluations of the three umbrella scenarios were also set out and discussed (as outlined in the slides).

**4.2** The group discussed feedback from the initial evaluations using the assessment tool, particularly the resource that will be needed to evaluate the wide range of options that had been developed by the Task Forces.

### **5 Initial Allocation – Targeted use of auctions**

**5.1** Ofgem presented slides focusing on the Targeted Use of Auctions. As a precursor to this agenda item it was made clear that Ofgem were not advocating auctions but ensuring that for completeness these options were properly considered within the scope of the Task Forces work. Three high level options for using targeted auctions were set out in further detail. These high level options were ‘Capacity auctions on transmission network’, ‘Access auctions for transmission network

at day ahead stage' and 'Capacity auctions for consortia on distribution networks'. Tailored questions prompted group discussion regarding the pros and cons of the different options and the implications of each option of various groups. The group were also invited to discuss any alternative models which may bring value.

**Action agreed under this item:**

**ATF26 - Continue work on the development of targeted capacity auctions across both T & D – to take into account Baringa report for UKPN and the variants it describes and relevant work from the Smart Grid Forum.**

## **6 'Influences user operations' cluster**

6.1 A Task Force member presented slides on a number of approaches that essential combine key characteristics of the access and use arrangements to form 'Clusters'. This session covered Clusters that Influence User Operations. The different elements (or building blocks) of the cluster approach were described and possible variations of the elements (options within building blocks). A total of eight different clusters were described. The Task Force then discussed how closely they move away from current arrangements, as well as the potential impacts and behaviours they may be likely to drive. The group discussed the clusters and potential pros and cons and potential links to other building blocks.

**Action agreed under this item:**

**ATF27 - C2 Operational options to be narrowed from eight to three together with a clear articulation/explanation of the terms 'Extended BM', 'Access Trading' & 'Tariffs'.**

## **7 Access Properties Building Blocks**

7.1 A task force member presented slides on an assessment of the access properties building blocks from a large generator perspective. The Task Force Member explained what the access properties were and assessed how a combination of building blocks would change the current operations of the network companies. Group discussion was tailored around the aspect of offering choice to the consumer, and pinpointing where choice should be offered to provide maximum value.

**Action agreed under this item:**

**ATF28 – Using the Access rights and Design Features from a generator perspective material presented; local energy groups to feed-in their perspective of the desirable features as a user they would like to see in an enduring regime. This should include consideration of what the different access choices mean, related pros and cons.**

## 8 Any Other Business

8.1 It was notified that the next task force meeting would be a joint meeting.

Time / Date	Location
10.00 – 16.00 Tuesday 17 <sup>th</sup> April 2018	ETC Venues, Prospero House, SE1 1GA

**Access Task Force - Actions from meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2018**

Action	Description	TF Members
ATF24	Minute that Task Force Interim Evaluation report will be published shortly after the joint Task Forces meeting scheduled for 17 April.	JS
ATF25	Task Force members to provide comments on the assessment work presented Baringa by Monday 26 <sup>th</sup> March.	All
	<p>The following actions to be carried out consistent with the way forward agreed at the meeting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Definition of options</b> - Better define the building blocks that are being assessed. These do not need to be fully formed options, but do need to give stakeholders a good understanding of what is being assessed.</li> <li>2. <b>Options to be assessed</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Assess all building blocks individually, unless there is an obvious “cluster” of building blocks that would be more efficient to assess.</li> <li>b. For the building blocks that form part of a “cluster” – identify the 3 or 4 options that highlight key different viable alternatives. Choosing options that are significantly different from each other should help clarify the important differences. Not every single possible plausible combination needs to be assessed.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. <b>Assessment process</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The options should be assessed against the assessment criteria to identify the pros and cons. An option can have a neutral impact on an assessment criteria (i.e. if it does not impact the criteria relative to the status quo).</li> <li>b. As part of the assessment process, any obvious links and dependencies with other building blocks should be identified.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	
ATF26	<b>Initial Allocation:</b> Continue work on the development of <b>targeted capacity auctions</b> across both T & D – to take into account Baringa report for UKPN and the variants it describes and relevant work from the Smart Grid Forum.	NMac/RT/SL/ GP
ATF27	<b>Reallocation mechanisms v Tariffs: C2 Operational options</b> to be narrowed from eight to three together with a clear articulation/explanation of the terms ‘Extended BM’ Access Trading & Tariffs.	DW/BR/SL
ATF28	<b>Access Properties:</b> Using the Access rights and Design Features from a generator perspective material presented; local energy groups to feed-in their perspective of the desirable features as a user they would like to see in an enduring regime. This should include consideration of what the different access choices mean, related pros and cons.	PMc/PM/GP/ DW/CB