




# Priority of charging outcomes




# Priority of remaining elements



# How should operational costs be taken forwards?



# How should treatment of new investment be taken forwards?



# Do you have any other views on taking forwards wider reform?

What will happen re Terre/Mari post-Brexit?

Don't overlap with access and tcr.

Further wider reform should take account of industry capacity to facilitate change process given work within TCR and SCR.

Would benefit from a little more time to consult/consider before answering

Lots going on in industry - need to manage industry time effectively. Prioritise consumer benefit mods.

Real risk that we have so much on the to do list that we don't focus on the issues discussed earlier today

Industry already trying to deal with a lot of change (eg access and tcr). Dont overwhelm us.

If a comprehensive scope SCR is launched, this should be the primary driver of change whilst it is active, with other changes put on hold to enable industry and stakeholders to focus on the priority areas.

Overlap between BM Lite and Access & Forward Looking

# Do you have any other views on taking forwards wider reform?

Should likely form its own separate forum for industry engagements and how it integrates with other industry changes in this high period of change

So much happening! Are CDB prepared for this? Are we (industry) even prepared for this? Put yourself in our shoes at a board meeting for FID of new project - this much change and uncertainty is just unbelievable and investment-stifling

This work could help supplement work within scr.

To Ofgem and National Grid: Why not running scenarios if changes occur before launching consultations. Example the FLC may have negative impacts and status quo is better . Why going to all the process before even assessing the scenario.

Does (should?) a charging and access SCR lead to a moratorium on other new commercial mods at CUSC/DCUSA? Otherwise how do we prevent overloading the industry? Do the panels decide?

There are always issues with any framework. It is better to evolve that to do throw out baby with bath water. Focus should be on delivering decarbonisation. And licences should be incentivised to decarbonise to maintain focus and direct resources.

Methodology to take forward is important as well as ensuring that transmission connected generation is not lost in the rush to adopt new technology

Ensure representation of ALL users for modifications - rather than those with large policy teams

